Assessment of Brain Injury Using Portable, Low-Field Magnetic Resonance Imaging at the Bedside of Critically Ill Patients

Kevin N. Sheth, MD; Mercy H. Mazurek, BS; Matthew M. Yuen, BA; Bradley A. Cahn, BS; Jill T. Shah, BA; Adrienne Ward, RN; Jennifer A. Kim, MD, PhD; Emily J. Gilmore, MD; Guido J. Falcone, MD, ScD, MPH; Nils Petersen, MD, PhD; Kevin T. Gobeske, MD, PhD, MPH; Firas Kaddouh, MD; David Y. Hwang, MD; Joseph Schindler, MD; Lauren Sarsing, MD, MS; Charles Matouk, MD; Jonathan Rothberg, PhD; Gordon Sze, MD; Jonathan Siner, MD; Matthew S. Rosen, PhD; Serena Spudich, MD, MA; W. Taylor Kimberly, MD, PhD

IMPORTANCE
Neuroimaging is a key step in the clinical evaluation of brain injury. Conventional magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) systems operate at high-strength magnetic fields (1.5-3 T) that require strict, access-controlled environments. Limited access to timely neuroimaging remains a key structural barrier to effectively monitor the occurrence and progression of neurological injury in intensive care settings. Recent advances in low-field MRI technology have allowed for the acquisition of clinically meaningful imaging outside of radiology suites and in the presence of ferromagnetic materials at the bedside.

OBJECTIVE
To perform an assessment of brain injury in critically ill patients in intensive care unit settings, using a portable, low-field MRI device at the bedside.

DESIGN, SETTING, AND PARTICIPANTS
This was a prospective, single-center cohort study of 50 patients admitted to the neuroscience or coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) intensive care units at Yale New Haven Hospital in New Haven, Connecticut, from October 30, 2019, to May 20, 2020. Patients were eligible if they presented with neurological injury or alteration, no contraindications for conventional MRI, and a body habitus not exceeding the scanner’s 30-cm vertical opening. Diagnosis of COVID-19 was determined by positive severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 polymerase chain reaction nasopharyngeal swab result.

EXPOSURES
Portable MRI in an intensive care unit room.

MAIN OUTCOMES AND MEASURES
Demographic, clinical, radiological, and treatment data were collected and analyzed. Brain imaging findings are described.

RESULTS
Point-of-care MRI examinations were performed on 50 patients (16 women [32%]; mean [SD] age, 59 [12] years [range, 20-89 years]). Patients presented with ischemic stroke (n = 9), hemorrhagic stroke (n = 12), subarachnoid hemorrhage (n = 2), traumatic brain injury (n = 3), brain tumor (n = 4), and COVID-19 with altered mental status (n = 20). Examinations were acquired at a median of 5 (range, 0-37) days after intensive care unit admission. Diagnostic-grade T1-weighted, T2-weighted, T2 fluid-attenuated inversion recovery, and diffusion-weighted imaging sequences were obtained for 37, 48, 45, and 32 patients, respectively. Neuroimaging findings were detected in 29 of 30 patients who did not have COVID-19 (97%), and 8 of 20 patients with COVID-19 (40%) demonstrated abnormalities. There were no adverse events or complications during deployment of the portable MRI or scanning in an intensive care unit room.

CONCLUSIONS AND RELEVANCE
This single-center series of patients with critical illness in an intensive care setting demonstrated the feasibility of low-field, portable MRI. These findings demonstrate the potential role of portable MRI to obtain neuroimaging in complex clinical care settings.
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Advanced brain imaging is a cornerstone of neurological diagnosis. Conventional magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) systems operate through high-strength magnetic fields (1.5-3 T) that require strict, access-controlled environments, rigid safety precautions, and highly trained technicians. As a result, the traditional neuroimaging workflow requires patient transport to dedicated hospital imaging suites. This operational paradigm has been necessary to ensure patient safety in and around high-field scanners but has rendered MRI largely inaccessible in the setting of critical illness.

In patients admitted to an intensive care unit, there are numerous risks involved in transportation to imaging suites, including compromise of monitoring equipment, venous access limitations, and risk of endotracheal tube displacement. Furthermore, in patients who are critically ill with infectious diseases such as coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19), the highly contagious nature of the disease can impose considerable limitations on transportation to and decontamination of traditional imaging suites. Recent advances in MRI technology have allowed for data acquisition at low magnetic field strength. The MRI scanners operating at low field strength allow for open geometry designs that can ease patient handling and positioning and are compatible with nearby ferromagnetic materials, enabling scanning outside of the controlled access environment of an MRI suite.

We recently developed and deployed a novel bedside neuroimaging solution, for which this is our first clinical report. We investigated patients with neurological injury or alteration using a low-field (0.064-T) portable MRI device at the bedside in neuroscience intensive care units (ICUs) and COVID-19 ICUs. This point-of-care (POC) MRI used no cryogens and plugged into a single, 110-V, 15-A standard power outlet. The device dimensions rendered it maneuverable within the confines of an ICU patient room (Figure 1). A self-contained motor and driving capability facilitated the deployment of a single device across the institution. The 5-Gauss (0.0005-T) safety perimeter had a radius of 79 cm from the center of the magnet. This work aimed to demonstrate the potential role of low-field, portable MRI to obtain bedside neuroimaging in an ICU setting.

**Methods**

**Study Setting and Participants**

The study was performed at Yale New Haven Hospital in New Haven, Connecticut, from October 2019 through June 2020 under an institutional review board research protocol approved.

---

**Figure 1. Point-of-Care Magnetic Resonance Images (0.064 T) in an Intensive Care Unit Room**

A Bedside point-of-care magnetic resonance imaging

B All intensive care unit equipment, including ventilators, pumps, and monitoring devices, as well as the point-of-care magnetic resonance image operator and bedside nurse, remained in the room. All equipment was operational during scanning.

---

**Key Points**

**Question** Can brain injury in patients who are critically ill be assessed using a novel portable, low-field magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) device at the bedside in an intensive care setting?

**Findings** In this cohort study of a series of 50 patients imaged with a portable, bedside MRI device, including patients with ischemic stroke, hemorrhagic stroke, subarachnoid hemorrhage, traumatic brain injury, brain tumor, and COVID-19 and altered mental status, abnormal neuroimaging findings were detected in 29 of 30 patients without COVID-19 (97%); 8 of 20 patients with COVID-19 (40%) demonstrated abnormalities. There were no adverse events or complications.

**Meaning** This study demonstrates the capability of low-field, portable MRI to obtain neuroimaging at the bedside in intensive care settings.
by Yale Human Research Protection Program. For patients admitted to the neuroscience ICU, the POC MRI device operated with an investigational device exemption, and patient informed consent was obtained under the approved institutional review board protocol. For patients admitted to the COVID-19 ICUs, the MRI device received US Food and Drug Administration clearance during the COVID-19 public health emergency, and images were acquired as part of clinical care. As a result, patient informed consent was obtained for the first 6 patients with COVID-19 under the approved protocol but waived for the subsequent 14 patients with COVID-19.

Patients were screened for eligibility based on admission diagnosis and clinical examination. For patients presenting to the neuroscience ICU, a diagnosis of an acute brain injury, as determined by a clinical radiographic reading, constituted study eligibility. For patients presenting to the COVID-19 ICUs, any neurological alteration appreciated during clinical examination (eg, altered mental status or acute brain injury, if the patient received conventional neuroimaging) constituted eligibility for study inclusion. Exclusion criteria included a patient body size exceeding the scanner’s 30-cm vertical opening or the presence of at least 1 of the following contraindications to conventional MRI evaluation: cardiac pacemakers or defibrillators, intravenous medication pumps, insulin pumps, deep brain stimulators, vagus nerve stimulators, cochlear implants, pregnancy, ongoing extracorporeal membrane oxygenation treatment, and cardiorespiratory instability. Diagnosis of COVID-19 was determined by a positive severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 polymerase chain reaction nasopharyngeal swab result.

Because of the low field strength, ferromagnetic equipment in the hospital room was not removed, including vital sign monitors, intravenous infusion pumps, ventilators, compressed gas tanks, and dialysis machines. A hospital-approved disinfectant was used to clean the device following each scan. For patients who did not receive conventional neuroimaging, POC MRI examinations were interpreted by a board-certified neuroradiologist (G.S.). Conventional scans were interpreted by staff neuroradiologists.

### Imaging Parameters

The POC MRI examinations were performed at the bedside using a prototype 0.064-T MRI system (with Mk 1.2 RC6.3-7.2 software and Mk 1.6 POC MRI RC8.0.2 software [Hyperfine Research Inc]). Examinations were acquired using an 8-channel head coil. The POC MRI used a biplanar, 3-axis gradient system with a peak amplitude of 26 mT/m (on the z-axis) and 25 mT/m (on the x-axis and y-axis). Scan parameters were controlled using a computer interface (iPad Pro, third generation; Apple). Available pulse sequences included T1-weighted (TIW), T2-weighted (T2W), fluid-attenuated inversion recovery (FLAIR), and diffusion-weighted imaging (DWI) with apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) mapping. Examinations were acquired in the axial, sagittal, and coronal planes. The following pulse 3-dimensional sequences were used: TIW fast spin echo (FSE) (repetition time [TR], 1500 milliseconds; time to echo [TE], 6 milliseconds; inversion time [TI], 300 milliseconds; 1.5 × 1.5 × 5-mm resolution; 36 slices); T2W FLAIR FSE (TR, 4000 milliseconds; TE, 100 milliseconds; b = 800 seconds/mm²; 2.4 × 2.4 × 6-mm resolution; 30 slices).

Neuroimaging Parameters

Diagnostic-grade TIW, T2W, T2 FLAIR, and DWI sequences were obtained for 37, 48, 45, and 32 patients, respectively. The mean examination time was 35 minutes and 40 seconds. Mean axial sequence scanning times were as follows: TIW, 4 minutes and 54 seconds; T2W, 7 minutes and 3 seconds; FLAIR, 9 minutes and 31 seconds; and DWI with b set to 0 seconds/mm² to calculate an ADC map, 9 minutes and 4 seconds.

### Neuroimaging Findings

In patients without COVID-19 (n = 30), neuroimaging findings were detected in 29 cases (97%). Twenty-nine patients (97%) also received conventional imaging (computed tomography, 6 patients; MRI, 23 patients). All POC MRI findings were in agreement with available conventional radiology reports, except that 1 patient had a diffuse subarachnoid hemorrhage that was not observed on POC MRI (k = 0.65; P < .001). Figure 2A shows POC MRI scans of a patient presenting to the neuroscience ICU with a left occipital hemorrhage, in agreement with the conventional MRI examination.
ment with a conventional 1.5-T MRI. Figure 2B illustrates a previously undetected, small-volume infarction in a patient with cardiac arrest who was too unstable to be transported to conventional imaging.

For patients with COVID-19 (n = 20), neuroimaging findings were observed in 8 patients (40%): an intracranial hemorrhage was found in 1 patient, cerebral infarction in 3, diffuse cerebral edema in 1, and leukoencephalopathy in 3. Eleven patients (55%) received conventional neuroimaging (computed tomography, 8; MRI, 3). Of the patients who received conventional imaging, all POC MRI findings were in agreement with conventional radiology reports. Figure 2C shows the images from a patient who was paralyzed and sedated and had a previously undetected, large hemispheric infarction of the left middle cerebral artery territory. Conventional noncontrast computed tomography (NCCT) following bedside MRI examination confirmed the POC MRI finding. Figure 2D illustrates an example of a smaller-volume infarction, further demonstrating the capability of POC MRI to detect both small and large ischemic strokes; this infarction was confirmed by available conventional NCCT. Figure 2E shows the images from a patient with no intracranial abnormalities, in agreement with conventional NCCT findings.

Discussion

We report advanced neuroimaging in ICUs using a novel approach for the bedside assessment of intracranial pathology. These findings demonstrate for the first time (to our knowledge) the deployment of a portable MRI to the bedside of patients with critical illness. In acute neurological settings, it is well established that noninvasive, time-sensitive neuroimaging is the cornerstone of triage and treatment pathways. For ICUs, access to MRI is limited, and the risks of transporting patients with critical illness are well documented.2-6 Risks to inpatient populations and clinicians are potentially increased when considering infection control issues, as illustrated by the COVID-19 pandemic. This report helps fill an important gap in the topic of obtaining neuroimaging for patients with critical illness and potential neuropathology.

Recent advances in low-magnetic field MRI have made the current solution possible, whereby an MRI scanner can safely enter the bedside clinical environment. Operation at 64 mT has enabling advantages, including compatibility with nearby ferromagnetic materials.7 Additionally, the scanner uses a permanent magnet that obviates the need for any cooling. The low

Table. Patient Demographics and Clinical Characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Patients, No. (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Strokea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total No.</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, mean (SD), y</td>
<td>60 (13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>11 (48)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI, mean (SD)</td>
<td>27 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>14 (61)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black or African American</td>
<td>5 (22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>3 (13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>1 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical history</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prior stroke</td>
<td>3 (13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>12 (52)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyperlipidemia</td>
<td>10 (43)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes</td>
<td>3 (13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obesity</td>
<td>5 (22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coronary artery disease</td>
<td>3 (13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time measurements, median (range)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LKN to examination, h</td>
<td>52 (13 to 222)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Admission to examination, d</td>
<td>2 (0 to 16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cognitive scores, median (range)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCS at examinationd</td>
<td>15 (9 to 15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NIHSS at examinationd</td>
<td>2 (0 to 19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RASS at examinationd</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discharge functional outcome by mRS score</td>
<td>3 (0 to 6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index (calculated as weight in kilograms divided by height in meters squared); COVID-19, coronavirus disease 2019; GCS, Glasgow Coma Scale; LKN, last known normal; mRS, modified Rankin Scale; NA, not applicable; NIHSS, National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale; RASS, Richmond Agitation and Sedation Scale.

a Stroke includes acute ischemic stroke, intraparenchymal hemorrhage, and subarachnoid hemorrhage.

b Percentages may not total to 100% because of rounding.
c LKN was not available for 1 individual.
d Closest values to point-of-care magnetic resonance imaging examination.
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Neurological complications and neurotropism have been reported in patients with COVID-19, including headache, altered mental status, acute cerebrovascular disease,

power consumption of the scanner (<1650 W) means that the scanner can operate directly from the standard electrical power available in any room.

**Figure 2. Examples of Point-of-Care (POC) Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) vs Standard-of-Care (SOC) Imaging in 5 Patients**

A Left occipital intraparenchymal hemorrhage

B Right cerebellum infarct

C Large left middle cerebral artery

D Right anterior cerebral artery and middle cerebral artery watershed infarctions

E No intracranial abnormalities

A, A patient in their 40s with left occipital intraparenchymal hemorrhage. B, A patient in their 40s admitted for cardiac arrest and found to have fixed pupils but to be too unstable to obtain SOC imaging; a POC MRI demonstrates a right cerebellum infarct (arrowheads). C, A patient in their 50s presenting with altered mental status at the time of scanning. A POC MRI demonstrates large left middle cerebral artery infarct with hemorrhagic transformation. D, A patient in their 50s who was sedated and not tracking or following commands at the time of the scan. A POC MRI demonstrates a right anterior cerebral artery–middle cerebral artery watershed infarction. E, A patient in their 60s presenting with altered mental status at the time of scanning. A POC MRI shows no intracranial abnormalities. Available SOC imaging (3 computed tomography images and 1 MRI scan) further validated each of these POC MRI findings. DWI indicates diffusion-weighted imaging; FLAIR, fluid-attenuated inversion recovery; T1W, T1-weighted; T2W, T2-weighted.
encephalopathy, leptomeningeal enhancement, and seizures. However, reports on neurologic complications in patients who are critically ill with COVID-19 have been hampered by a paucity of neuroimaging. Patients with severe COVID-19 experience multiple organ injuries, often requiring the sustained use of mechanical ventilation and dialysis with continuous kidney replacement therapy. These patients with severe illness are most in need of MRIs because of the increased risk of neurological sequelae and frequent sedation or paralysis for ventilator management, the second of which prevents routine assessment of neurological status.

We obtained neuroimaging in 20 patients with COVID-19 requiring ventilation, 3 of whom also required continuous kidney replacement therapy, and observed neurological findings in 8 of 20 patients (40%). This experience illustrates an example of how deploying bedside MRI into complex clinical settings can permit neuroimaging in an otherwise restricted setting.

**Limitations**

The main limitations of this study are the single-center design and the limited number of patients imaged. Prospective multicenter studies in which POC MRI diagnostic results are compared with conventional computed tomography or MRI results for discrete pathologies are necessary for further validation. In addition, this was a cross-sectional study in which patients were imaged at variable points in their hospital course. Nevertheless, the portability, image quality, and ease of operation of the POC MRI have addressed a critical neurodiagnostic need in patients with severe illness.

**Conclusions**

Future studies should assess brain pathology at fixed points in a multicenter validation study. The availability of a bedside POC MRI would also facilitate serial measurements, potentially providing insight into the frequency of neurological pathology, as well as its time course. The temporal profile becomes particularly relevant for the design of potential interventional studies aimed at preventing neurological complications.

In conclusion, this experience demonstrates that low-field, portable MRI can be deployed successfully into intensive care settings. This approach may hold promise for portable assessment of neurological injury in other scenarios, including the emergency department, mobile stroke units, and resource-limited environments.