Association of Reperfusion With Brain Edema in Patients With Acute Ischemic Stroke
A Secondary Analysis of the MR CLEAN Trial
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IMPORTANCE It is uncertain whether therapeutic reperfusion with endovascular treatment yields more or less brain edema.

OBJECTIVE To elucidate the association between reperfusion and brain edema. The secondary objectives were to evaluate whether brain edema could partially be responsible for worse outcomes in patients with later reperfusion or lower Alberta Stroke Program Early Computed Tomography Score.

DESIGN, SETTING, AND PARTICIPANTS This was a post hoc analysis of the Multicenter Randomized Clinical Trial of Endovascular Treatment for Acute Ischemic Stroke in the Netherlands (MR CLEAN), which was a prospective, randomized, multicenter clinical trial of endovascular treatment compared with conventional care of patients with acute anterior circulation ischemic stroke. Of 502 patients enrolled from December 2010 to June 2014, 2 patients declined to participate. Additionally, exclusion criteria were absence of follow-up imaging or presence of parenchymal hematoma, resulting in 462 patients included in this study. Brain edema was assessed retrospectively, from December 10, 2016, to July 24, 2017, by measuring midline shift (MLS) in all available follow-up scans. Observers were blinded to clinical data.

MAIN OUTCOMES AND MEASURES Midline shift was assessed as present or absent and as a continuous variable. Reperfusion status was assessed by the modified thrombolysis in cerebral infarction score in the endovascular treatment arm. The modified arterial occlusive lesion score was used to evaluate the recanalization status in both arms. The modified Rankin scale score at 90 days was used for functional outcome.

RESULTS Of 462 patients, the mean (SD) age was 65 (11) years, and 41.8% (n = 193) were women. Successful reperfusion and recanalization were associated with a reduced likelihood of having MLS (adjusted common odds ratio, 0.25; 95% CI, 0.12-0.53; P < .001 and adjusted common odds ratio, 0.34; 95% CI, 0.21-0.55; P < .001, respectively). Midline shift was partially responsible for worse modified Rankin scale scores in patients without reperfusion or recanalization (MLS changed the logistic regression coefficients by 30.3% and 12.6%, respectively). In patients with delayed reperfusion or lower Alberta Stroke Program Early Computed Tomography Score, MLS mediated part of the worse modified Rankin scale scores, corresponding to a change in the regression coefficient of 33.3% and 64.2%, respectively.

CONCLUSIONS AND RELEVANCE Successful reperfusion was associated with reduced MLS. This study identifies an additional benefit of reperfusion in relation to edema, as well as rescuing ischemic brain tissue at risk for infarction.

TRIAL REGISTRATION Netherlands Trial Registry number: NTR1804 and Current Controlled Trials number: ISRCTN10888758.
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Reperfusion in patients with acute ischemic stroke enrolled in the MR CLEAN trial.

Key Points

**Question** What is the association between reperfusion and brain edema in patients with acute ischemic stroke?

**Findings** In this exploratory post hoc analysis of the MR CLEAN trial, successful reperfusion reduced the odds of brain edema, measured by midline shift, by 73%. Reducing midline shift also mediated part of the favorable 90-day neurological outcome.

**Meaning** Reperfusion was associated with reduced brain edema in patients with acute ischemic stroke enrolled in the MR CLEAN trial.

Additional exclusion criteria for this exploratory post hoc analysis were the absence of follow-up (FU) imaging and the presence of parenchymal hematoma types 1 and 2 on FU computed tomography (CT) imaging. Posthemicraniectomy patients were not excluded in our study because hemicraniectomy procedures were usually performed after the 24-hour FU scan. In these cases, midline shift (MLS) was measured in the early FU scan before the surgical procedure.

**Imaging Analysis and Outcomes**

The imaging acquisition protocol was based on the MR CLEAN trial, as described previously, and included a baseline noncontrast CT and CT angiogram (CTA) (n = 462), a follow-up CTA or magnetic resonance angiogram at 24 hours (n = 440), and a second follow-up noncontrast CT or magnetic resonance imaging scan at day 5 to 7 (n = 352). The baseline infarct size was assessed by the ASPECTS, as previously described. Cerebral collateral vessels were assessed on the baseline CTA by the MR CLEAN imaging committee, using a 4-point scale category score (0, absent collaterals; 1, filling <50% of occluded area; 2, filling ≥50% but less <100%; or 3, filling 100% of occluded area).

Midline shift was measured on all available FU scans at 24 hours and 5 to 7 days. These times were categorized as early FU (24 hours) and late FU (5-7 days), respectively. Midline shift measurements were performed by readers who were blinded to the clinical data. Midline shift was assessed as both a dichotomous (present or absent) and a continuous variable. Midline shift was evaluated both ways to ensure that the nonparametric analysis of the continuous variable was not affected by the skewness of the data. The presence of MLS was determined by 2 readers from the MR CLEAN imaging committee (intrarater reliabilities of 0.87 and 0.81 on early FU and late FU scans assessments, respectively), and was defined as any deviation of midline structures (e.g., the septum pellucidum). The quantitative assessment of MLS was performed on patients previously categorized as having MLS. It was measured in millimeters at the level of the septum pellucidum and was assessed by a neuroradiologist (B.G.D.) using previously published approaches (eFigure 1 in the Supplement).

Recanalization was assessed on the 24-hour CTA in both treatment arms, using the modified arterial occlusive lesion score. Scores were dichotomized into successful (modified arterial occlusive lesion, 3; complete recanalization)

### Methods

**Patient Characteristics**

Patient imaging and clinical data were retrospectively selected from the MR CLEAN cohort. The MR CLEAN study was a prospective, randomized, multicenter clinical trial of patients with acute ischemic stroke with a National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale score of 2 or more, a relevant proximal intracranial arterial occlusion of the anterior circulation confirmed by neuroimaging, and the ability to start EVT within 6 hours after stroke onset. The original study randomized patients to EVT plus conventional care compared with conventional care only. Detailed inclusion and exclusion criteria have been reported previously. Written informed consent was obtained from all patients or their legally authorized representatives. The original study protocol was approved by a central medical ethics committee and the research board of each participating center. In the original trial, 2 patients declined participation immediately after randomization.

**Recanalization** Recanalization was assessed on the 24-hour CTA in both treatment arms, using the modified arterial occlusive lesion score.

**Brain Edema** Brain edema was defined as any deviation of midline structures (e.g., the septum pellucidum). The quantitative assessment of MLS was performed on patients previously categorized as having MLS. It was measured in millimeters at the level of the septum pellucidum and was assessed by a neuroradiologist (B.G.D.) using previously published approaches (eFigure 1 in the Supplement).

**Recanalization** Recanalization was assessed on the 24-hour CTA in both treatment arms, using the modified arterial occlusive lesion score.

**Brain Edema** Brain edema was defined as any deviation of midline structures (e.g., the septum pellucidum). The quantitative assessment of MLS was performed on patients previously categorized as having MLS. It was measured in millimeters at the level of the septum pellucidum and was assessed by a neuroradiologist (B.G.D.) using previously published approaches (eFigure 1 in the Supplement).
and unsuccessful (modified arterial occlusive lesion <3). Reperfusion status was assessed on digital subtraction angiograms in the EVT arm using the modified thrombolysis in cerebral infarction (mTICI) score. The mTICI score was assigned following completion of the thrombectomy procedure. The mTICI score ranges from 0 (no antegrade reperfusion) to 3 (complete antegrade reperfusion, with absence of visualized occlusion in all distal branches), and successful reperfusion was defined as mTICI 2b or 3.\textsuperscript{24} The time from stroke onset to reperfusion was indirectly assessed by the time from onset stroke to the end of EVT (time to reperfusion), measured in minutes.

Neurological functional outcome at 90 days was assessed by the modified Rankin Scale (mRS). The mRS scale ranges from 0 (no residual stroke symptoms) to 6 (death) and was evaluated across the entire score range as an ordinal variable.

**Statistical Analysis**

The patients were originally enrolled from December 2010 to June 2014, and MLS was assessed retrospectively. Descriptive analysis of baseline variables, treatment, and outcome were reported for groups with presence or absence of MLS using the $\chi^2$ test for categorical data, the Mann-Whitney $U$ test for non-normally distributed continuous data, and the $t$ test for normally distributed continuous data.

Associations of recanalization, reperfusion, and treatment arm (EVT or conventional) with MLS were assessed by binary logistic regression (for dichotomous MLS) and by Mann-Whitney $U$ test (for continuous MLS). Association between time to reperfusion and MLS was assessed by binary logistic regression and linear regression for dichotomous MLS and continuous MLS, respectively. Unadjusted and adjusted analyses were performed in the binary logistic and linear regression models. To adjust for baseline prognostic variables, the models included age, sex, stroke severity (baseline National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale), higher admission serum glucose, collateral score, baseline ASPECTS, atrial fibrillation, smoking, and prior stroke.

Univariable and multivariable ordinal logistic regression was used to assess the association between MLS and mRS at 90 days. The effect of MLS on outcome was expressed as an unadjusted and adjusted common odds ratios (cOR and acOR) for a shift in the direction of worse outcome on the mRS at 90 days.

Mediation analyses\textsuperscript{25,26} were performed to determine whether MLS influences the association of reperfusion, recanalization, time to reperfusion, and ASPECTS with functional outcome. Mediation analysis consists of a 4-step procedure detailed in eFigure 2 in the Supplement. The Sobel test was used to determine statistical significance of the mediation effect. The percent difference of the coefficients was measured after introducing MLS as the mediator. All statistical analyses were performed with IBM SPSS Statistics, version 24 software (SPSS Inc), and $P$ less than .05 was considered statistically significant. All $P$ values were 2-sided.

**Results**

**Patient Characteristics**

Of the 500 patients enrolled in the MR CLEAN trial, 38 patients were excluded owing to absence of FU imaging (n = 9) or presence of parenchymal hematoma (n = 29; 2 with parenchymal hematoma type 1 and 27 with parenchymal hematoma type 2). In total, 462 patients were included in our analysis, of which 46.8% (n = 216) had MLS present on any of the FU scans. The characteristics of patients with and without MLS are listed in the Table. In patients with MLS, there was a significantly higher baseline National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale, higher admission serum glucose, a lower ASPECTS, worse collateral score, longer time to reperfusion, a higher rate of internal carotid artery terminus arterial occlusion, a higher rate of hemicraniectomy, and worse 90-day mRS.

**Factors Associated With Midline Shift**

First, we evaluated the recanalization score on 24-hour CTA in both treatment arms. The modified arterial occlusive lesion score was available in 381 of 462 patients (82.5%). The rate of successful recanalization on 24-hour CTA was 34.7% in the conventional arm and 63.3% in the EVT arm. Patients with successful recanalization had a lower frequency of MLS (32.7% vs 56% in those with unsuccessful recanalization; $P < .001$). In binary logistic regression, successful recanalization was associated with a reduced likelihood of having MLS (cOR, 0.38; 95% CI, 0.25-0.56; Nagelkerke $R^2$, 0.07; $P < .001$ and acOR, 0.34; 95% CI, 0.21-0.55; Nagelkerke $R^2$, 0.20; $P < .001$). Accordingly, when MLS was evaluated as a continuous variable, patients with successful recanalization had a lower median MLS value on the late FU scan (median, 0 mm; IQR, 0-2.8 mm vs median, 2.4 mm; IQR, 0-5.3 mm; $P < .001$; Figure 1A) and early FU scan (median, 0 mm; IQR, 0-1.8 mm vs median, 0 mm; IQR, 0-3.3 mm; $P = .04$; eFigure 3 in the Supplement).

We next evaluated reperfusion in the EVT arm (n = 202), using the mTICI score that was available in 91.1% of patients (n = 184). Successful reperfusion was observed in 109 of 184 patients (59.2%). Patients with successful reperfusion had a lower rate of MLS compared with the unsuccessful reperfusion group (33.9% vs 64%, $P < .001$). In binary logistic regression, successful reperfusion was associated with a lower likelihood of MLS in unadjusted and adjusted models (cOR, 0.29; 95% CI, 0.16-0.53; Nagelkerke $R^2$, 0.11; $P < .001$ and acOR, 0.25; 95% CI, 0.12-0.53; Nagelkerke $R^2$, 0.22; $P < .001$). The median MLS values were lower in the successful reperfusion group compared with unsuccessful reperfusion cases on the late FU (median, 0 mm; IQR, 0-2.9 mm vs median, 2.3 mm; IQR, 0-4.1 mm; $P = .001$, Figure 1B) and early FU scans (median, 0 mm; IQR, 0-2.2 mm vs median, 1.3 mm; IQR, 0-4.2 mm; $P = .01$; eFigure 3 in the Supplement). A representative example of a successful vs unsuccessful reperfusion is shown in Figure 2.

A longer time to reperfusion was associated with an increased likelihood of having MLS (cOR, 1.007; 95% CI, 1.003-1.011; Nagelkerke $R^2$, 0.10; $P < .001$ and acOR, 1.012; 95% CI,
Foreach 90 minutes of delay in reperfusion, there was an increase in MLS of 1.0 mm and 0.80 mm on the early FU scans according to the unadjusted and adjusted analyses, respectively (β, .011; 95% CI, 0.005-0.017; P = .001 in unadjusted analysis and β, .008; 95% CI, 0.002-0.015; P = .002 in adjusted analysis) and of 1.4 mm and 1.24 mm on the late FU scans for both the unadjusted and adjusted models (β, .015; 95% CI, 0.008-0.023; P < .001 in unadjusted model and β, .014; 95% CI, 0.005-0.021; P = .002 in adjusted model).

We next examined the effect of treatment allocation on MLS. Midline shift was more frequently observed in the conventional care group, with a median midline shift of 7.5 mm (IQR, 0.7-14.5 mm; P = .001) compared with EVT (4.5 mm; IQR, 1.7-10.5 mm; P < .001). Table 2 provides details of the patient characteristics of those with and without midline shift. As shown, there were significant differences in admission NIHSS and baseline ASPECTS scores, with higher values in the midline shift group. Additionally, there were significant differences in the distribution of occlusion location on baseline CTA, with a higher proportion of anterior cerebral artery (A2) occlusions in the midline shift group. There were no significant differences in age, sex, time from onset to randomization, admission systolic and diastolic blood pressures, admission serum glucose, baseline medical history, and time to reperfusion. Functional outcome at 3 months as assessed by the modified Rankin Scale (mRS) was also significantly worse in the midline shift group (P < .001).

Table. Patient Characteristics of Those With and Without Midline Shift

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>With Midline Shift</th>
<th>Without Midline Shift</th>
<th>P Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, median (IQR), y</td>
<td>65 (53-77)</td>
<td>66 (51.5-74.5)</td>
<td>.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male, No. (%)</td>
<td>126 (58.3)</td>
<td>143 (58.1)</td>
<td>.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time from onset to randomization, median (IQR), min</td>
<td>222 (184.2-259.7)</td>
<td>193.5 (141-246)</td>
<td>.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Admission NIHSS, median (IQR)</td>
<td>19 (16-22)</td>
<td>16 (13-19)</td>
<td>.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline ASPECTS, median (IQR)</td>
<td>8 (7-9)</td>
<td>9 (8-10)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Admission systolic blood pressure, median (IQR), mm Hg</td>
<td>140 (123-157)</td>
<td>145 (129.5-160.5)</td>
<td>.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Admission diastolic blood pressure, median (IQR), mm Hg</td>
<td>80 (70-90)</td>
<td>82 (74.5-89.5)</td>
<td>.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Admission serum glucose, median (IQR), mmol/L</td>
<td>6.8 (6-7.9)</td>
<td>6.5 (5.7-7.5)</td>
<td>.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous stroke</td>
<td>22 (10.2)</td>
<td>25 (10.2)</td>
<td>.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes mellitus</td>
<td>31 (14.4)</td>
<td>26 (10.6)</td>
<td>.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atrial fibrillation</td>
<td>64 (29.6)</td>
<td>58 (23.6)</td>
<td>.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stroke</td>
<td>60 (27.8)</td>
<td>76 (30.9)</td>
<td>.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICA-T</td>
<td>79 (36.6)</td>
<td>53 (21.5)</td>
<td>.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M1</td>
<td>125 (57.9)</td>
<td>165 (67.1)</td>
<td>.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M2</td>
<td>10 (4.6)</td>
<td>26 (10.6)</td>
<td>.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2</td>
<td>1 (0.5)</td>
<td>2 (0.8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collateral score, No. (%)</td>
<td>20 (9.3)</td>
<td>4 (1.6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1: Filling &lt;50% of occluded area</td>
<td>73 (34.1)</td>
<td>48 (19.7)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2: &gt;50% to &lt;100%</td>
<td>73 (34.1)</td>
<td>113 (46.3)</td>
<td>.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3: 100% of occluded area</td>
<td>48 (22.4)</td>
<td>79 (32.4)</td>
<td>.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endovascular treatment, No. (%)</td>
<td>89 (41.2)</td>
<td>113 (45.9)</td>
<td>.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Successful recanalization status, No. (%)</td>
<td>65 (38.9)</td>
<td>134 (62.6)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Successful reperfusion status, No. (%)</td>
<td>37 (43.5)</td>
<td>72 (72.7)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time to reperfusion, median (IQR)</td>
<td>271.5 (229.5-313.5)</td>
<td>252.5 (205-300)</td>
<td>.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Functional outcome (mRS), median (IQR)</td>
<td>4 (3-5)</td>
<td>2 (1-3)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemicraniectomy, No. (%)</td>
<td>22 (10.1)</td>
<td>2 (0.9)</td>
<td>.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: A2, anterior cerebral artery, segment 2; ASPECTS, Alberta Stroke Program Early Computed Tomography Score; CTA, computed tomography angiography; EVT, endovascular treatment; IQR, interquartile range; M1, middle cerebral artery, segment 1; M2, middle cerebral artery, segment 2; mRS, modified Rankin Scale; NIHSS, National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale.
ventional arm (58.8%) than in the EVT arm (41.2%), but this was not statistically significant in unadjusted and adjusted binary logistic regression models (cOR, 0.82; 95% CI, 0.57-1.19; \( P = .31 \) and acOR, 0.78; 95% CI, 0.52-1.17; \( P = .27 \), respectively). Similarly, there were no statistically significant differences in the median MLS values between the treatment groups (Figure 1C and eFigure 3 in the Supplement), although a smaller MLS was observed in the EVT arm on the late FU scans (median, 0 mm; IQR, 0-3.6 mm for the EVT arm vs median, 1.7 mm; IQR, 0-4.3 mm for conventional care; \( P = .18 \)).

Midline Shift and Functional Outcome
The presence of MLS was associated with a worse functional outcome (cOR, 4.22; 95% CI, 2.98-5.98; \( P < .001 \) and acOR, 3.49; 95% CI, 2.38-5.12; \( P < .001 \); Figure 3). There was a shift toward worse outcome, with a cOR of 1.21 for each millimeter increase in MLS in both early and late FU scans. In the adjusted analyses with continuous MLS values, the acORs were 1.24 (95% CI, 1.17-1.33) and 1.21 (95% CI, 1.15-1.30) in the early and late FU scans, respectively (\( P < .001 \), eTable in the Supplement).

In the mediation analyses (Figure 4), after including MLS as a mediator, the ordinal regression coefficient was reduced by 30.3% in reperfusion (Figure 4A) and 12.6% in recanalization (Figure 4B), consistent with MLS partially mediating the association between recanalization or reperfusion with outcome. For the evaluation of time to reperfusion, the percentage difference of the coefficients between time to reperfu-

![Figure 2. Examples of the Association Between Reperfusion Status and Midline Shift](image)

- **A** Patient 1, Pre-EVT DSA
- **B** Post-EVT DSA, successful reperfusion
- **C** Follow-up NCCT
- **D** Patient 2, pre-EVT DSA
- **E** Post-EVT DSA, unsuccessful reperfusion
- **F** Follow-up NCCT

Digital subtraction angiogram (DSA), both prior to and after endovascular treatment (EVT), and the follow-up noncontrast computed tomography (NCCT) are illustrated. Patient 1 with successful reperfusion (A) shows a complete right M1 occlusion (arrowhead) seen on the pretreatment DSA frontal view. B, Post-EVT DSA shows successful reperfusion. C, Follow-up NCCT at day 5 shows a hypodense area on the right frontoparietal lobe with a minor mass effect and lack of MLS. Patient 2 with unsuccessful reperfusion (D) had a complete left M1 occlusion (arrowhead) seen on pre-EVT DSA lateral view. E, No reperfusion (arrowhead) is observed on posttreatment DSA. F, The 24-hour follow-up NCCT shows a hypodense area on the left frontoparietal lobe, corona radiata, and caudate nucleus with mass effect and a midline shift of 3 mm.

![Figure 3. The Modified Rankin Scale (mRS) Distribution for the Groups of Patients With and Without Midline Shift (MLS)](image)

Scores range from 0 (no symptoms) to 6 (death). Black lines indicate shifts in mRS values across MLS groups (\( P < .001 \)).

Scores range from 0 (no symptoms) to 6 (death). Black lines indicate shifts in mRS values across MLS groups (\( P < .001 \)).

Midline Shift and Functional Outcome
The presence of MLS was associated with a worse functional outcome (cOR, 4.22; 95% CI, 2.98-5.98; \( P < .001 \) and acOR, 3.49; 95% CI, 2.38-5.12; \( P < .001 \); Figure 3). There was a shift toward worse outcome, with a cOR of 1.21 for each millimeter increase in MLS in both early and late FU scans. In the adjusted analyses with continuous MLS values, the acORs were 1.24 (95% CI, 1.17-1.33) and 1.21 (95% CI, 1.15-1.30) in the early and late FU scans, respectively (\( P < .001 \), eTable in the Supplement).

In the mediation analyses (Figure 4), after including MLS as a mediator, the ordinal regression coefficient was reduced by 30.3% in reperfusion (Figure 4A) and 12.6% in recanalization (Figure 4B), consistent with MLS partially mediating the association between recanalization or reperfusion with outcome. For the evaluation of time to reperfusion, the percentage difference of the coefficients between time to reperfu-

Discussion
In this study, we investigated the association between reperfusion or recanalization and brain edema in the MR CLEAN trial.
In patients with successful restoration of blood flow, defined by either recanalization or reperfusion measures, we consistently found less MLS. Later reperfusion and lower ASPECTS were related to increased MLS, suggesting that edema formation sufficient to cause MLS may limit the benefits of reperfusion in these patients. Moreover, we demonstrated that worse functional outcome associated with unsuccessful blood flow restoration, lower ASPECTS, or later reperfusion could be explained partially by brain edema formation as measured by MLS.

Prior studies have evaluated the association between reperfusion and brain edema in humans. Horsch et al. found an increased odds of having brain edema in patients with unsuccessful recanalization, but the association was not statistically significant. Similarly, a lack of recanalization have been described as a predictor of malignant cerebral edema. In 2017, Irvine et al. demonstrated an association between successful reperfusion and reduced edema, but this study did not include patients with current thrombectomy devices. In the context of these prior studies, our analysis provides additional support for the concept that reperfusion may have several benefits, not only by rescuing ischemic tissue at risk but also by reducing brain edema.

There is a well-established association between cerebral edema and patient outcome or death, particularly in severe stroke with malignant progression. Proximal arterial occlusion, a higher baseline National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale, higher baseline glucose, poor collaterals, and lower ASPECTS are associated with malignant brain edema. The observation that decompressive hemicraniectomy, if performed early enough, can improve outcome in a subset of patients supports the conclusion that edema formation has a direct relation with poor outcome. Emerging data suggests that edema may also play a role in moderate stroke, although a causal association has not been established. The finding that MR CLEAN patients with MLS had worse outcomes further highlights the potential role of edema in moderate to severe stroke.

After the restoration of blood flow, the secondary goal of stroke clinical care is the mitigating factors that may exacerbate further neurological deterioration. For example, treating brain edema is an important approach in the subsequent acute care and includes options such as surgical decompression or osmotic therapy and potential preventive strategies. Our data could assist the selection of patients for brain edema prevention because in patients with lower ASPECTS or delayed reperfusion, worse outcome was partially explained by the MLS.

**Limitations and Strengths**

This study has several limitations. First, brain edema was assessed retrospectively by measuring MLS, which is an indirect measure of the mass effect rather than a direct assessment of water concentration. Approximately half of patients did not have measurable MLS but may have had a small amount of edema that did not lead to a change in the MLS measurement. Nevertheless, MLS is considered an easily assessable and reasonable quantitative parameter for brain edema in patients with acute ischemic stroke that has been linked to clinical outcome. Second, we excluded patients with parenchymal hemorrhage types 1 and 2, consistent with prior studies, although edema and hemorrhage frequently coexist. However, the number of patients excluded was small,
and excluding them would bias toward the null hypothesis. Finally, the mediation analysis does not confirm a causal association of edema owing to the observational nature of the data.

The strengths of this study include the analysis of brain edema in a randomized, multicenter study design that evaluated highly effective thrombectomy devices. To our knowledge, this is also the first study to assess the mediation effect of MLS on functional outcome based on reperfusion and recanalization status, time to reperfusion, and ASPECTS in patients with acute ischemic stroke.

Taken together, our data provide insight into the association between reperfusion and brain edema. Our study reinforces the benefits of early reperfusion and recanalization in reducing brain edema and suggests that EVT does not increase the extension of brain edema, as has been reported in preclinical models.9-11 These data also suggest that edema may help explain the previous observation that final infarct volume only partially accounts for the EVT effect on outcome.38 The identification of predictors of brain edema in our data could help the selection of patients with higher risk of developing brain edema and could guide appropriate patient selection for edema prevention. Further prospective studies are warranted to confirm the potential causative role of reperfusion and especially treatment allocation in influencing brain edema in patients with acute ischemic stroke.

Conclusions

Successful reperfusion is associated with reduced mass effect, as measured by MLS. Midline shift was partially responsible for the worse mRS at 90 days in patients with unsuccessful blood flow restoration, lower ASPECTS, or later reperfusion. This study confirms a pleiotropic benefit of reperfusion in addition to rescuing ischemic brain tissue at risk for infarction.
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